Your Aug/Sept issue was passed along to me by a friend. I was struck breathless to find LES-	
BIAN S/M so enlightenly mentioned. This is a subject steeped in sensationalist press, ignorance, and	
taboo by Gave and Heteros alike. Because S/M is used as a blanket term covering most fetish sex and	
kinky variations, and because most people are very reserved about sexual experimentation, S/M, B&D,	
and the second of the second second second and second reaction for an an and the second second second second se	

Responses, cont

and D&S role-playing are considered perverse - and so they are - just as missionary position only, homosexuality, and asexuality are. Unlike harmful or inhumane sexual variations (i.e., pedophilia, rape, bestiality, and some kinds of incest), most sexual expression between consenting parties, if done caringly, can evoke tremendous mutual pleasure and create an atmosphere of deep intimacy. I am not suggesting that everyone should try everything, but I am saying those of us who choose variant sex are not lepers. What galls me is the label of "politically incorrect sex". Who is the arbiter of "correct" Lesbian sex?

-12-

The other thing I was happy to read about was the <u>FEMININE LESBIAN</u>. I, too, am not turned on by baggy pants, running shoes, unkempt hair, and women in men's shirts. I am not castigating the Lesbians who crossdress as an expression of sexuality. I am commenting on the Lesbians who wear the "uniform" thinking it makes them unattractive to men. Well, it makes them unattractive to women as well, and the current trend of younger straight women towards "androgynous dressing" makes it that much harder to tell who the real players are. No wonder so many Lesbians are lonely.--Greenwich, CT