12-8-80

CHEVALIER PUBLICATIONS / Box 36091 / Los Angeles 90036

Virginia Prince

Dear Lou:

STUS MUNDER DE L'AL

Way back in Feb you wrote to me and I noted on the envelope;"answered March 9---;pretty quick for me. However as you are a rather unusual person I kept the letter around which I dont generally do. From the middle of Sept to the beginning of Nov I was out of the country--most of the time in China--so that when I returned there was a mountain of mail to take care of. I have just this morning knowked off the last of that mountain and there under it all I found your earlier letter which I reread.

determine the sector and the sector

In it you inquired whether I would be intereested in reprinting some of your earlier articles. I first must tell you that I am no longeer the Editor and Publisher of Transvestia having termin ated those efforts after 20 years with issue #100. I sold the business to a TV friedd of mine and she has brought out 101 102 snd 103 since. However I think she would be much interested in an article bypo and about a female TV. However you indicate that your previous articles were for a gay journal and that you regard yourself as a gay man. Thus the articles might well have a different slant than would be of most interest to our readers. I wouldnt be interested in a "gee dont I pass good" article as you put its. But I think something giving a bit of yor history, your fantasies and feelings about dressing and passing as a man plus some events encountered while so attired would be very interesting to our people as a contrast yst parallel situation to their own. If your former articles would do that, o.k. but if that was not their slant then

it would be; much appreciated if you could whip off a new article for us. All of our material is submitted by our readers for the general interst of all so there wouldnt be any payment involved, but I amsure Carol (the current Editory would be happy pto send you a copy of the issue the article appears in. If you would be willing to do so please send it to me and I will forward it to her. I would like to read it myself first as I might as Emeritus editor, want to append a commentary to appear with it. Not a criticis dont misunderstand me, but a commentary pto our people of the parallels and differences etc.

You indicated that you wanted to be taken for a gay man which seems sort of strange to me. I could easier understand your being heterosexual and yet wishing to appear and pass as a man in mens closthes as that is the reverse of the coin for male TVs. But not having a penis which is the prinicple object of interest to day males how could you perform. They could not perform fellatio on you tho you could on them to be sure. Yet for them to perform dodomy on you when a perfectly useful vagina is sright around in front; would not seem to be as satisfactory to you and probably not for them. So why do you not seek straight men who might buy the gender change and still be able to make out with you sexually as anatomy dictates? A clarification of this would be interesting

There is a possibility that I might come up to the bay area in Jan or Feb to see a friend (a TS) and also to consult a prof. at UC. Maybe at that time we could get together for an exchang of miewsa Let me hear from you again.

priver of the splitson but estimated a pr

TAN BE DING, DE

the not those

sincerely,

Waines Prince